

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,919	12/11/2003	Andrew Michael Britton	RAMAND	8596
7:	590 12/09/2005		EXAM	INER
DAVID GEORGE JOHNSON			JACKSON, TYRONE D	
	POST OFFICE BOX 286 AITKIN, MN 56431 ART UNIT PAPE		PAPER NUMBER	
			2862	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,919	BRITTON, AND	REW MICHAEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tyrone Jackson	2862	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may son.  period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of thi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 October 2005		
· _ · · _ · · _ ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		itters, prosecution as to	the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	·	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2003	$\underline{3}$ is/are: a) $⊠$ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Ex	aminer.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	<u> </u>		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this Nation	al Stage
application from the International Bo	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	t received.	
attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946	8) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	OTO 152\
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	:B/08) 5)	Informal Patent Application (F	10-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The Amendment filed October 12, 2005 have been entered and considered. In view thereof, the objection to the specification have been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson {5,504,428} in view of Takamisawa et al. {5,199,545}.

Johnson discloses a metal detector comprising an oscillator coil **27** and two input coils **26** and **28** (three magnet/coils) that emit a magnetic field and generates a signal in response to a disturbance of the magnetic field (column 2 lines 4-6, 9-11), a signal processor that measures and compares the ratio of the different signals so as to determine the physical location of an item causing the disturbance of the magnetic field (column 4 lines 35-55). Johnson does not include an oscillator and an oscillator coil formed as first and second adjacent oscillator coils with the first and second oscillator coils being interconnected in a parallel relationship. Takamisawa et al. does disclose a metal detector that includes an oscillator and adjacent oscillator coils arranged in a parallel relationship (column 4 lines 47-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the oscillating system taught by Takamisawa et al. with the metal detector taught by Johnson because that would allow for the magnetic lines of force generated in the space within the coils to be

Art Unit: 2862

uniform causing ahigh degree of accuracy in metal detection (column 4 line 63-column 5 line 3).

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson {5,504,428} in view of Nelson-White {6,342,835}.

Johnson discloses a metal detector comprising an oscillator coil 27 and two input coils 26 and 28 (three magnet/coils) that emit a magnetic field and generates a signal in response to a disturbance of the magnetic field (column 2 lines 4-6, 9-11), a signal processor that measures and compares the ratio of the different signals so as to determine the physical location of an item causing the disturbance of the magnetic field (column 4 lines 35-55). Johnson does not include an oscillator and an oscillator coil formed as first and second adjacent oscillator coils with the first and second oscillator coils being interconnected in a series relationship. Nelson-White discloses an oscillator 20 and first and second adjacent oscillator coils 12, 14 connected in series (column 3 lines 26-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the oscillator and oscillator coils connected in series along with the metal detector taught by Johnson for the purpose of creating substantially parallel horizontal lines of magnetic flux within the detection region, which allows for accurate detection of metal (column 3 lines 32-37).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,919 Page 4

Art Unit: 2862

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tyrone Jackson

December 6, 2005

Michael Tokar Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Mula J. Topan